1 2 3	Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr. (SBN: 179986) Jason A. Davis (SBN: 224250) 1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 San Jose, California 95125-5120 Voice: (408) 264-8489 Fax: (408) 264-8487	
4	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
5 c		
6		
7		DISTRICT COLLDT
8		DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	MADIZ AADON HAXNIE DDENDAN	
10	MARK AARON HAYNIE, BRENDAN JOHN RICHARDS, THE CALGUNS	Case Nos.: 3:10-CV-01255 SI 3:11-CV-02493 SI
11	FOUNDATION, INC., and THE	(Consolidated Cases)
12	SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC.,	
13	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION and JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
14	vs. KAMALA HARRIS, Attorney General	Re: CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
15	of California (in her official capacity)	
16	and CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, CITY OF ROHNERT	
17	PARK, OFFICER DEAN BECKER	
	(RP134), and DOES 1 TO 20, Defendants.	
18	Defendants.	
19	DDENDAN JOHN DIGHADDG WHE	
20	BRENDAN JOHN RICHARDS, THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC.,	
21	and THE SECOND AMENDMENT	C N 2.11 CV 05500 CI
22	FOUNDATION, INC., Plaintiffs,	Case No.: 3:11-CV-05580 SI (Related Case)
23	vs.	
24	KAMALA HARRIS, Attorney General of California (in her official capacity)	STIPULATION and JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
25	and CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT	Re: CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
	OF JUSTICE, SONOMA COUNTY SHERIFFS' OFFICE, SHERIFFS'	
26	DEPUTY GREG MYERS (SD1023)	
27	and DOES 1 TO 20, Defendants.	
28	Defendants.	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED¹ by and between the undersigned parties² through their respective attorneys of record that based on the following set of facts, this Court should reschedule the Case Management Conference current set for January 13, 2012 and make other case management orders:

- 1. Plaintiffs have put all current defendants on notice that based on a fourth (4th) false arrest under the California Assault Weapon statutes out of Sonoma County (Cotati Police Department) it is highly will likely that a fourth (4th) complaint will be filed in this district and likely related to the current three cases. Plaintiffs anticipate filing this latest action before the end of January 2012.
- There is a pending Case Management Conference in the consolidated cases of Haynie v. Harris and Richards v. Harris set for January 13, 2012. (Case Nos: 3:10-CV-01255 SI and 3:11-CV-02493 SI.)
- 3. Defendants Rohnert Park and Officer Becker have filed a motion to dismiss (Dkt # 22) in the second *Richards v. Harris* Case No.: 3:11-CV-02493 SI. The motion is currently set for argument on February 24, 2012.
- 4. On December 29, 2011, a Clerk's Notice was entered on the Docket (#12) for the second *Richards v. Harris* Case (3:11-CV-05580 SI) setting an initial Case Management Conference in that case for March 30, 2012 at 2:30 p.m.

¹ Haynie v. Harris, Case No.: 3:10-CV-01255 SI was ordered consolidated with *Richards v. Harris*, Case No.: 3:11-CV-02493 SI, in an ordered filed on October 22, 2011. (See Documents # 42 and #15 respectively. The second *Richards v. Harris*, Case No.: 3:11-CV-05580 was ordered to be related with their first two cases in an order filed on December 21, 2011. (See documents #47 and # 20 respectively.)

² Attorney Anne Keck for the Sonoma County defendants (in 3:11-CV-05580) made an appearance on or about December 22, 2011. Attorney for Plaintiffs Donald Kilmer spoke with Attorney Keck on that day. She indicated that she would be out of her office on mandatory time off until January 6, 2012. She indicated that she would not be able to meaningfully participate in the Case Management Conference currently set for January 13, 2012, though she did indicate that she could attend the conference if it went forward.

28

1111

1	SO STIPULATED.	
2	Date: December 30, 2011 Date: December 30, 2011	
3	/s/ Ross Moody, Donald Kilmer	
4	Counsel for Defendants Harris & California Dept. of Justice Counsel for Plaintiffs	
5	Trairis & Camorina Dept. of Sustice	
6	Date: December 30, 2011	
7	/s/ Robert W. Henkels	
8	County for Defendants City of Rohnert Park & Becker	
9		
10	ATTESTATION FOR COMPLIANCE WITH GENERAL ORDER 45 AND LOCAL RULE VIII.B.	
11	I, Donald Kilmer, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California and the United States that I have in my possession e-mail correspondence from	
12	and the United States that I have in my possession e-mail correspondence from Ross Moody and Robert Henkels that the content of this document is acceptable to all persons required to sign the document. I declare that this document was signed	
13	in San Jose, CA on December 30, 2011.	
14	Donald Kilmer, Attorney for Plaintiffs	
15		
16		
17	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
18 19	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties this Court makes the follow orders:	
20	1. Plaintiffs shall diligently work to file their fourth action with similar claims	
21	at the earliest feasible date, but in no case later than January 30, 2012 or	
22	they risk not having this fourth case related to the current actions.	
23	2. The Case Management Conference currently set for January 13, 2012 is	
24	vacated and reset for March 30, 2012 at 2:30 p.m., in Courtroom 10, $19^{\rm th}$	
25	Floor, San Francisco before the Honorable Susan Illston.	
26	3. The parties are directed to file a Joint Case Management Conference	
27	Statement 10 days before the conference. Participation in the conference is	
28	mandatory for all served parties, but participation in the preparation of the	

Joint Case Management Conference Statement is optional for parties served
and/or appearing after January 23, 2012.
The metion to dismiss filed by the Debreut Devle defendants commently set for

- 4. The motion to dismiss filed by the Rohnert Park defendants, currently set for February 24, 2012, is reset to April 20, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 10, 19th Floor, San Francisco before the Honorable Susan Illston.
- 5. Any cross-motions shall be filed in accordance with the local rules.
- 6. For this set of motions to be heard on April 20, 2012, any opposition memorandums and/or supporting documents shall be filed on or before March 30, 2012 and any reply memorandums shall be filed on or before April 6, 2012.
- 7. The plaintiffs are ordered to serve this Case Management Order with any complaint and summons that is served on any potential defendants who are not parties as of the date of this Order.

Date:

United States District Judge